

A46 Coventry Junctions (Walsgrave) - Examining Authority's First Written Questions (ExQ1) – Response from Warwickshire County Council

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
General and cross-topic			
GC.1.2	All interested parties	<p>Maintenance arrangements for the proposed woodland planting area chosen for ecological mitigation</p> <p>Coventry City Council in their summary of Issue Specific Hearing 1 [REP1-037] state that the City Council's Parks and Open Spaces Team will be responsible for the maintenance of the woodland area.</p> <p>IPs are invited to comment on (i) the maintenance arrangements for the proposed woodland mitigation area and (ii) the proposal that access for maintenance would be provided via the Hungerley Hall Farm accommodation overbridge. (Refer (i) to paragraphs 2.5.127. and 2.5.128. of ES Chapter 2 [APP-024], (ii) Sheet 2 of 5 on ES Figure 2.4 (Environmental Masterplan) [APP-043]; and (iii) [REP1-039] (Annex A to Issue Specific Hearing 1 - Indicative Cycle route)).</p>	The County Council understand that there is ongoing engagement by the Applicant on this matter, and that detail around the maintenance arrangements for the proposed woodland planting area and ecological mitigation will be dealt with through the detailed design process.
GC.1.3	All interested parties	<p>Use of an existing compound</p> <p>ES Chapter 2 [APP-024] paragraphs 2.6.10 – 2.6.20 refer to the use of an existing compound at Brinklow Road, which is not included within the order limits as it has been secured under a Town and Country Planning Act permission as it was previously used for the adjacent Binley Junctions upgrade (non DCO project). ES Chapter 4 [APP-026] paragraph 4.6.2 states that the use of this compound, including traffic movements, is considered as part of the baseline due to the existing permission. The Inspectorate provided draft document comments on this matter, to which the applicant has responded [APP-130] to provide additional information.</p> <p>IPs are invited to comment on any anticipated implications of the use of this compound and exclusion from the order limits, and consideration of the compound within the baseline data.</p>	The County Council has no specific concerns around the use of the former Binley compound site off Brinklow Road for the construction of the proposed A46 Walsgrave scheme. A Section 59 survey of movements to/from the compound may be requested by the County Council due to any extraordinary traffic proposed by the Applicant during the construction period.
GC.1.4	All interested parties	<p>Assessment of diversion routes</p> <p>The ES notes throughout [APP-024][APP-027][APP-134] that temporary closures and diversions are required during construction, typically during night times or weekends. The ES does not specifically include an assessment of these diversions during construction, however, provides a justification for this as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The overall duration of construction works does not exceed the 24-month threshold for 	The Environmental Statement (ES) acknowledges that temporary closures and associated diversions will be necessary during the construction phase of the

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
		<p>assessment stated within the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The closures are generally short term e.g. overnight / weekend, and a specified short diversion route, which is the existing diversion in the event of a planned or emergency closure of the current A46 / B4082, is given. • Diversion routes are on the Affected Road Network so may form part of the assessment • In the event that the construction period does over run beyond 24 months, the ES gives the anticipated traffic movements from the appointed contractor, which are shown to not meet the relevant vehicle movement thresholds of the DMRB (APP-027 paragraphs 5.5.9 – 5.5.13). IPs are invited to comment on any concerns noted with the applicant's assessment of diversion routes. 	<p>project. These are anticipated to occur predominantly during off-peak periods, such as overnight or at weekends, to minimise disruption to road users.</p> <p>While the ES does not include a detailed assessment of the diversion routes themselves, this approach is justified on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Duration of Works: The overall construction period does not exceed the 24-month threshold for assessment as outlined in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB). As such, the temporary nature of the works falls outside the scope requiring a full assessment of traffic impacts under the DMRB guidance. • Nature of Closures: The closures are expected to be short-term in nature, typically limited to overnight or weekend periods. This significantly reduces the potential for prolonged disruption. • Established Diversion Routes: The proposed diversion routes are existing, well-established alternatives that are already in use for planned

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
			<p>or emergency closures of the A46 and B4082. These routes have been previously assessed and are considered suitable for temporary use during construction.</p> <p>The preferred route that is used currently for any diversions around the A46 Walsgrave Junction is to utilise the A428 Brandon Road from the A46 Binley junction, then along the B4082 Clifford Bridge Road and A4600 Ansty/Hinckley Road to M6 Junction 2.</p> <p>None of this route is within the County of Warwickshire, it is all on Coventry City Council maintained highway.</p>
AQ.1.1	The Applicant, Coventry City Council and Rugby Borough Council	<p>ES Ch.5 [APP-027] Paragraph 5.6.2</p> <p>Is more recent data available and does this have any implications for the assessments and modelling undertaken?</p>	N/A
AQ.1.2	Natural England, Coventry City Council and Rugby Borough Council	<p>ES Ch.5 [APP-027] Paragraph 5.13.9</p> <p>Do you agree with the conclusion “there will be no significant effects in terms of air quality on human and ecological receptors as a result of the Scheme.” If not explain the points of difference with this conclusion.</p>	N/A
AQ.1.3	Natural England, Coventry City Council, Rugby Borough Council and any other Interested Parties	<p>Applicant's response to Rule 9 [PD1-016] – Paragraph 7.1.7</p> <p>The Applicant's conclusion concerning the impact of the “Interim Planning Guidance on the consideration of the Environment Act PM2.5 targets in planning decisions” - published 4 October 2024 states; in conclusion that “if the interim planning guidance had been in place at the time of the original Scheme air quality assessment, there would be no material changes to the assessment outcomes.” Do you agree? If not explain the points of difference with this conclusion.</p>	No comments.

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
Alternatives			
AS.1.1	Environment Agency, Historic England, Natural England, Coventry City Council, Warwickshire County Council and Rugby Borough Council	ES Ch.3 [APP-025] – Assessment of Alternatives Do you agree with the assessments of alternatives set out in this chapter and the option selected for this application? If not set out any areas of disagreement.	Prior to responding to the Applicant's consultation on the proposed Preferred Option in February 2022, the County Council reviewed the merits or otherwise of all available options. The County Council then confirmed its support for the option which the Applicant has presented through this DCO application (referred to then as Option 11).
Biodiversity, Ecology and Natural Environment (including Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA))			
BY.1.2	Natural England Environment Agency Coventry City Council Warwickshire County Council	Assessment and mitigation Do you agree with the applicant's conclusions regarding the likely significant effects on biodiversity arising from the Proposed Development? If you do, provide an explanation; if you do not, indicate the areas of disagreement with the findings and how this may influence the overall conclusions. (Refer to ES Section 8.11 Assessment of likely significant effects (both during construction and operation) [APP-030]). Do you consider the Applicants approach to the assessment and mitigation of biodiversity and nature conservation to comply with the relevant parts of the National Networks National Policy Statement?	The County Council is satisfied with the conclusion that Proposed Development will not have an impact on Ensor's Pool SAC or River Mease SAC European Sites as there are no functional pathways between the Proposed Development and the two sites. The County Council considers that the Applicant's approach complies with the National Networks National Policy Statement.
BY.1.8	Natural England Environment Agency Coventry City Council Warwickshire County Council	Management Measures What are your views on the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Appendix B.4, [APP-109]) regarding: (i) Ecology strategy and principles (ii) REAC Ecology	The County Council is content with the Ecological strategy and principles, REAC Ecology, Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) and monitoring specifications.

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
		<p>(iii) Biodiversity net gain</p> <p>(iv) monitoring specifications</p> <p>What are your views on the management measures BD1 through to BD9 set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110]?</p> <p>Would the dDCO [REP1-002], Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110], and Appendix B.4 [APP-109] adequately secure all measures required to reduce the environmental impacts of material assets and waste?</p>	<p>In relation to BNG there is significant potential to deliver a gain within the Proposed Development.</p> <p>The County Council considers the management measures BD1 to DB9 as set out in Table 1 are complete and comprehensive.</p> <p>The County Council has concerns that the words 'should', 'would' or 'could' have been used erroneously within MA1 to 7 (particularly MA3, 4, 5 & 7).</p>
Climate			
CE.1.7	Natural England Environment Agency Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council Warwickshire County Council	<p>Assessment and mitigation</p> <p>Do you agree with the applicant's conclusions regarding the likely significant effects on greenhouse gases?</p> <p>If you do, provide an explanation; if you do not, indicate the areas of disagreement with the findings and how this may influence the overall conclusions. (Refer to ES Section 14.11 Assessment of likely significant effects (construction and operation) [APP-036]).</p> <p>Do you consider the Applicants approach to the assessment and mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions to comply with the relevant parts of the National Networks National Policy Statement?</p>	No comments.
CE.1.14	Natural England Environment Agency Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council Warwickshire County Council	<p>Management Measures</p> <p>What are your views on the Outline Carbon Management Plan (Appendix B.8, [APP-109]) regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Approach - Carbon Management Process - Monitoring and Reporting <p>What are your views on the management measures C1 through to C3 (related to climate) set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110]?</p>	No comments.

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
		<p>What are your views on the mitigation measures to minimise the carbon emissions from construction and operation? (Refer to Section 14.10 of ES Chapter 14 [APP-036]).</p> <p>Would the dDCO [REP1-002], and Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110] adequately secure all measures required to reduce the environmental impacts on climate?</p>	
Combined and Cumulative Effects			
CC.1.5	Local planning authorities	<p>Cumulative effects with other developments</p> <p>Do the local planning authorities agree with the list of other developments included in the cumulative effects assessment (Refer to Section 15.8 of ES Chapter 15 [APP-037])?</p>	N/A
Compulsory Acquisition, Temporary Possession and Other Land or Rights Considerations			
Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP1-002] - ARTICLES			
DCO.1.2	LHAs (Coventry City Council and Warwickshire County Council)	<p>A10, Application of the 1991 Act – (8)</p> <p>Is there a street works permit scheme in operation by LHAs and if so do you have any comments about the wording of this article.</p>	<p>Yes, a street works permit scheme is currently in operation within Warwickshire which is run by the County Council's Network Management team This scheme is governed by statutory guidance issued by the Department for Transport.</p> <p>Regarding the wording of Article A10(8), it is important that the language used aligns with the statutory framework and reflects the operational realities of permit schemes. The article should clearly define the scope of the permit scheme, the responsibilities of undertakers, and the conditions under which permits may be granted or</p>

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
			refused. If the current wording lacks clarity or does not adequately reflect the statutory guidance, it may benefit from revision to ensure consistency and enforceability.
DCO.1.5	LHAs (Coventry City Council and Warwickshire County Council)	A15, Temporary closure, alteration, diversion and restriction of use of streets - (6) Is the period stipulated in this clause acceptable?	<p>The period stipulated in clause A15(6), which allows for temporary closure, alteration, or diversion of streets, appears to be acceptable provided it adheres to the principles of reasonableness and necessity. According to the relevant legislation: 2, such closures must be for a "reasonable time" and require consent from the street authority, which must not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. Additionally, affected parties are entitled to compensation for any loss resulting from the suspension of private rights of way.</p> <p>Therefore, the acceptability of the period depends on its alignment with these statutory provisions and whether it allows sufficient time for the undertaker to complete the authorised development without causing undue disruption</p>

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
			to the public or adjacent property owners.
DCO.1.6	Relevant LPAs and Historic England	A23, Protective work to buildings Are the measures stipulated in this article acceptable?	N/A
DCO.1.7	LHAs (Coventry City Council and Warwickshire County Council)	A24, Authority to survey and investigate the land - (6) Are you satisfied with the deemed consent provision stipulated in the paragraph?	No comments.
DCO.1.8	Relevant LPAs	A26, Trees subject to tree preservation orders Do you have any concerns about the powers, relating to TPO trees, that would be granted by this article?	N/A
DCO.1.10	Coventry City Council	A52, Disapplication and modification of legislative provisions - (3) Are you satisfied with the disapplication of the Traffic Management (Coventry City Council) Permit Scheme Order 2014(c), for this project?	N/A
DCO.1.11	Coventry City Council and Rugby Borough Council	A53, Amendment of local legislation Are you content with the amendments to local legislation stipulated in this article to undertake this project?	N/A
Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP1-002] - SCHEDULE 2 - REQUIREMENTS			
DCO.1.12	Relevant LHAs and LPAs	Requirement (R) 3, Detailed design Does the Council have any comments about the process stipulated in this requirement, in particular with reference to the new bridge design.	As any new bridges or other structures associated with the scheme will be assets maintained by either the Applicant or Coventry City Council, the County Council does not need to be involved in this element of the detailed design process.
DCO.1.17	Relevant LHAs and LPAs	R13, Pre-commencement works Have the Council any views on this requirement relating to the pre-commencement plan [APP112]?	No comments.

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP1-002] - SCHEDULE 2A - COUNTER-NOTICE REQUIRING PURCHASE OF LAND			
Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) [REP1-002] - SCHEDULE 8 - LAND OF WHICH TEMPORARY POSSESSION ONLY MAY BE TAKEN			
Geology and Soils			
GS.1.1	Natural England Environment Agency Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council Warwickshire County Council	<p>Assessment and mitigation</p> <p>Do you agree with the applicant's conclusions regarding the likely significant effects on geology and soils relating to human health, controlled waters and agricultural soils?</p> <p>If you do, provide an explanation; if you do not, indicate the areas of disagreement with the findings and how this may influence the overall conclusions.</p> <p>(Refer to ES Section 9.11 Assessment of likely significant effects [APP-031]).</p> <p>Do you consider the Applicants approach to the assessment and mitigation of land use and land contamination and instability to comply with the relevant parts of the National Networks National Policy Statement</p>	Unfortunately, the County Council does not have the appropriate expertise in the fields of hydrology, soils and geotechnics to answer this question.
GS.1.5	Natural England Environment Agency Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council Warwickshire County Council	<p>Management Measures</p> <p>What are your views on the management measures GS1 through to GS5 set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110]? Would the dDCO [REP1-002], and Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110] adequately secure all measures required to reduce the environmental impacts of material assets and waste?</p>	As above.
Historic Environment			
HE.1.2	The Applicant, Coventry City Council and Rugby Borough Council	<p>ES Ch.6 [APP-048] Cultural Heritage - Paragraph 6.4.7</p> <p>The third bullet point of this paragraph records the concern expressed by Coventry City Council as owner of the Coombe Abbey Park but there is no mention of any such concerns from Rugby Borough Council as local planning authority. Does it share these concerns, and if so where are its views set out in Chapter 6?</p>	N/A
Landscape and Visual			
LV.1.1	Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council	<p>Assessment and mitigation</p> <p>Do you agree with the applicant's conclusions regarding the likely significant effects on landscape and visual receptors arising from the Proposed Development? If you do, provide an explanation; if you do not, indicate the areas of disagreement with the findings and how this may influence the overall conclusions. (Refer to ES Section 7.11 Assessment of likely significant effects (both during construction and operation) [APP-029]).</p>	N/A

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
LV.1.2	Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council	<p>Extent of land allocated as Green Belt</p> <p>Reference to the extent of the green belt is given in [REP1-036] (paragraph 5.5) and [APP-029] (Table 7.2 under reference to paragraph 5.181) and is also shown in ES Figure 7.1 (Landscape Policy Context) [APP-049]. State the full extent of land within your administrative boundary allocated as Green Belt</p>	N/A
LV.1.12	Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council	<p>Management Measures</p> <p>What are your views on the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Appendix B.4, [APP-109]) regarding: (i) landscape requirements (ii) landscape strategy and principles; and (iii) establishment, management and maintenance? What are your views on the management measures LV1 through to LV3 set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments (REAC) [APP-110]? Would the dDCO [REP1-002], Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110], and Appendix B.4 [APP-109] adequately secure all measures required to protect landscape and visual amenity?</p>	N/A
Material Assets and Waste			
MW.1.1	Environment Agency Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council Warwickshire County Council	<p>Assessment and mitigation</p> <p>(a) Do you agree with the applicant's conclusions regarding the likely significant effects on material assets and waste arising from the Proposed Development? If you do, provide an explanation; if you do not, indicate the areas of disagreement with the findings and how this may influence the overall conclusions. (Refer to Section 10.11 of ES Chapter 10 [APP-032]).</p> <p>(b) Do you consider the Applicants approach to reducing waste safely and maximising resource usage to comply with the relevant parts of the National Networks National Policy Statement?</p>	<p>Answer to (a): Yes. The County Council believe that in the context of minerals and waste the applicant's conclusion on predicted effects of slight adverse – not significant is reasonable and appropriate. The tonnages involved are not significant, industry good practice considerations have been applied, and recovery and reuse which are at the higher end of the waste hierarchy have been applied. The County Council has tested the appropriateness of the consideration and flagged a number of points early on. These have been addressed as set out in the agreed Statement of</p>

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
			<p>Common Ground (SoCG). The applicant has exceeded recycling and recovery targets and have included a number of committed mitigations which will minimise the impact of the scheme on minerals and waste.</p> <p>Answer to (b): Yes. In The National Policy Statement paragraphs 5.70 – 5.78 set the approach to be taken for Resources and Waste Management, with the aim to protect human health and the environment and maximise resource use. Steps have been taken to minimise the volume of waste arisings and to maximise its reuse and recycling. The Applicant's overall approach has been to "retain and reuse" first which is supported by the County Council. Consideration has been given to how waste will be managed on and off site and the availability of local infrastructure, an area covered by the SoCG in terms of the implications for landfill capacity in the County.</p>
MW.1.12	Environment Agency Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council	<p>Management Measures</p> <p>(a) What are your views on the Outline Site Waste Management Plan (Appendix B.3 [APP-109]) regarding: (i) resource efficiency and waste minimisation (ii) waste management (iii)</p>	<p>Answer to (a): The Plan is an Outline and covers all the main areas for managing waste</p>

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
	Warwickshire County Council	<p>monitoring; and (iv) audit and review?</p> <p>(b) What are your views on the management measures MA1 through to MA7 set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110]?</p> <p>(c) Would the dDCO [REP1-002], Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110], and Appendix B.3 [APP-109] adequately secure all measures required to reduce the environmental impacts of material assets and waste?</p>	<p>produced during construction. The County Council is satisfied that it covers all the main topic areas and there will be monitoring, review and auditing. We would expect that when the scheme has been approved and prior to commencement of construction that a final Site Waste Management Plan (SWMP) will be produced based on more specific quantities of materials to be released and reused. As a "living document" the County Council expects the document to be regularly reviewed by the Applicant during the construction process.</p> <p>Answer to (b): The County Council is satisfied that they are necessary, appropriate, comprehensive and can be adequately monitored. Under MA 7, the County Council would wish to see a firmer commitment to deliver a Materials Management Plan from the outset.</p> <p>Answer to (c): The County Council has no evidence to suggest that the dDCO, Table 1 in REAC and Relevant Management</p>

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
			Plans (OSWMP) will not secure the measures required to reduce the environmental impacts on minerals and waste.
Noise and Vibration			
NV.1.2	Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council	<p>Assessment and mitigation</p> <p>Do you agree with the applicant's conclusions regarding the likely significant effects of noise and vibration arising from the Proposed Development? If you do, provide an explanation; if you do not, indicate the areas of disagreement with the findings and how this may influence the overall conclusions. (Refer to ES Section 11.11 Assessment of likely significant effects (both during construction and operation) [APP-033]). Do you consider the Applicants approach to managing the impacts of noise and vibration (on human and ecological receptors) to comply with the relevant parts of the National Networks National Policy Statement?</p>	N/A
NV.1.8	Coventry City Council Rugby Borough Council	<p>Management Measures</p> <p>What are your views on the Outline Construction Noise and Vibration Management Plan (ES Appendix B.2, [APP-109]) regarding: (i) noise and vibration control measures (ii) specific measures (iii) protection of buildings; and (iv) monitoring and reporting? What are your views on the management measures NV1 through to NV4 set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110]? Would the dDCO [REP1-002], Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110], and ES Appendix B.2 [APP-109] adequately secure all measures required to reduce the environmental impacts of noise and vibration?</p>	N/A
Population and Human Health			
PH.1.2	Coventry City Council Warwickshire County Council	<p>Assessment and mitigation</p> <p>Do you agree with the applicant's conclusions regarding the likely significant effects on population and human health arising from the Proposed Development?</p> <p>If you do, provide an explanation; if you do not, indicate the areas of disagreement with the findings and how this may influence the overall conclusions.</p> <p>(Refer to ES Section 12.11 Assessment of likely significant effects (both during construction and operation) [APP-034]).</p> <p>Do you consider the Applicants approach to managing the impacts of the Proposed Development on safety, health and accessibility to comply with the relevant parts of the National Networks National Policy Statement?</p>	No comments.

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
PH.1.5	Coventry City Council Warwickshire County Council	Management Measures What are your views on the management measure PH1 set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110]? Would the dDCO [REP1-002], and Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110], adequately secure all measures required to reduce the environmental impacts on population and human health?	No comments.
Road Drainage and Water Environment			
RW.1.2	The Environment Agency Warwickshire County Council Coventry City Council	Flood Risk Assessment Can you briefly confirm your views on the applicant's approach and method in the Flood Risk Assessment [AS-012] (refer to Section 13.5 of ES Chapter 13 [APP-035]). Do you consider the Flood Risk Assessment to comply with National Networks National Policy Statement, the National Planning Policy Framework and Planning Practice Guidance? Does the Flood Risk Assessment represent an accurate assessment of the flood risks on site and is the assessment proportionate to the risk and appropriate to the scale and nature of the Proposed Development?	Through the various hydraulic modelling assessments undertaken and documented within the Flood Risk Assessment, the County Council's Flood Risk Management (FRM) consider those assessments as proportionate to the scale and nature of the development alongside proportionality to the risk within Warwickshire itself. We see no obvious gaps in the assessment or its compliance against the NN NPS, NPPF and PPG, however we believe the Examining Authority (ExA) as the Planning Authority is the appropriate body to determine if the Assessment complies with policy.
RW.1.3	Warwickshire County Council Coventry City Council	Flood Risk Assessment Does the Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) [AS-012] adequately and appropriately cover the specific issues of concern to the Lead Local Flood Authority?	Yes.

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
RW.1.4	Coventry City Council	<p>Flood Risk Assessment</p> <p>At Issue Specific Hearing 1 [EV4-004 and EV4-005] you stated the City Council was awaiting more information on the proposed road drainage system.</p> <p>Can you update the ExA on progress with receipt of this information and provide your views on whether the Applicant's road drainage proposals are suitable and sufficient?</p>	N/A
RW.1.5	Environment Agency Warwickshire County Council Coventry City Council	<p>Sequential and Exception Tests</p> <p>Can you provide a brief confirmation of your views on the sufficiency and application of the sequential and exception tests outlined in the Flood Risk Assessment [AS-012]?</p>	<p>The County Council believe the flood risk Sequential & Exception Tests should be applied by the appropriate Planning Authority based on planning matters.</p> <p>However, we recognise the summary within the Flood Risk Assessment that the site is the only applicable location, therefore the Sequential Test is considered passed.</p> <p>As to the Exception Test, Annex G of the FRA [AS-012] has been reviewed and the County Council is content with the information provided.</p>
RW.1.6	Coventry City Council	<p>Reservoir flood risk assessment</p> <p>At Issue Specific Hearing 1 [EV4-004 and EV4-005] you referred to ongoing assessment work to better understand the residual risk to Coombe Pool reservoir and the nearby A46 highway and ongoing discussion with the Applicant regarding a proposed change in height of the earthwork embankment between the reservoir and the A46.</p> <p>Provide an update on the progress of these discussions and the suitability and sufficiency of the reservoir flood risk assessment and any physical and administrative mitigation measures proposed by the Applicant.</p>	N/A

Ref no.	Question to	ExA's Questions	Response
RW.1.8	Environment Agency Warwickshire County Council Coventry City Council	Water quality and resources Do you consider the Applicants approach to managing the demand for water and potential impacts on health and on species and habitats to comply with the National Networks National Policy Statement?	The County Council is not the appropriate body to comment on water quality and water resource matters, this is for the Environment Agency.
RW.1.12	Coventry City Council	Coombe Pool Flood Mitigation Scheme In your Relevant Representation [RR-013], under the heading 'Drainage and Flooding Impacts', you refer to the City Council developing proposals for a Coombe Pool Flood Mitigation Scheme due to the risk to the A46 and immediate city area. Outline the risk to the A46. Provide an explanation of the Coombe Flood Mitigation Scheme proposals and an update on the status of these proposals.	N/A
RW.1.14	Environment Agency Warwickshire County Council Coventry City Council	Management Measures What are your views on the management measures RD1 through to RD13 set out in Table 1 of the First Iteration Environmental Management Plan Appendix A, Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110]? Would the draft Development Consent Order [REP1-002] and Table 1 of the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [APP-110] adequately secure all measures required for pollution prevention and water management for the construction and operation phases?	The County Council is not the appropriate body to comment on water quality and pollution prevention matters, this is for the Environment Agency.
RW.1.17	All interested parties	Provision of additional flood risk information The ExA wishes to draw IPs attention to the applicant's submission [PD1-016], in response to the ExA's rule 9 requests for further information [PD-005] on the matter of updating the assessment of flood risk following the release of new flood risk data by the Environment Agency. All IPs are invited to provide comments on the Applicants response on this matter.	The County Council agrees that the site-specific hydraulic modelling undertaken by the Applicant and reported on within the Flood Risk Assessment is more detailed than the updated national flood risk mapping.
Transportation and Traffic			
TT.1.4	Coventry City Council	Designer's response to the Road Safety Audit Table 3-1 of the Consultation Report [APP-115] presents a summary of engagement with disagreed with the designers' responses in the road safety audit concerning verge widening for a possible future route for walking, cycling, and horse-riding alongside the B4082. Could the City Council offer additional clarification regarding their concerns?	N/A